

## THE INFLUENCE OF ENGLISH ACCENTS ON PERCEIVED CREDIBILITY IN ACADEMIC CONTEXTS

**Azimov Shokhrukh,**

ESL teacher of Foreign Language Department,  
Kokand University, Uzbekistan

**Abstract:** This study investigates the impact of different English accents (British Received Pronunciation, General American, and Broad Australian) on the perceived credibility of speakers in academic presentations among university students with diverse linguistic backgrounds. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, the research combines quantitative ratings of speaker credibility with qualitative insights from participant interviews. Results from an Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) reveal a significant difference in perceived credibility across the accents, with the British accent rated as the most credible, followed by American and Australian accents. Further, interaction effects between participants' linguistic backgrounds and accent perception indicate that familiarity and cultural exposure influence credibility assessments. The qualitative analysis underscores the role of societal stereotypes and media portrayals in shaping these perceptions. This study contributes to sociolinguistic research by highlighting the implications of accent bias in educational settings and suggests the need for increased awareness and inclusivity towards linguistic diversity. The findings advocate for pedagogical strategies that address and mitigate linguistic prejudice to foster equitable academic environments.

**Keywords:** Accent Perception, Credibility, Sociolinguistics, Linguistic Diversity, Educational Equity, British Accent, American Accent, Australian Accent, Language Attitudes

### **Introduction**

The way we speak, particularly the accent with which we articulate our words, carries a profound impact not only on interpersonal communication but also on the perceptions and judgments made by listeners. In academic settings, where the conveyance of ideas and the establishment of authority and credibility are paramount, the role of accent becomes even more critical. This research paper aims to delve into the sociolinguistic dynamics of accent perception, focusing on the impact that different English accents—specifically British, American, and Australian—have on the perceived credibility of speakers during academic presentations.

Accents are more than just the sounds of speech; they are laden with social and cultural meanings that influence the listener's perception in subtle yet significant ways. Sociolinguistic research has shown that listeners often make snap judgments about a speaker's intelligence, competence, professionalism, and even trustworthiness based on their accent alone. Such judgments, while seemingly inconsequential on the surface, can have far-reaching implications for speakers in academic contexts, where credibility and authority are closely tied to the reception of their message.

The choice of English accents—British, American, and Australian—provides a fertile ground for exploration due to their global prevalence and distinctive characteristics. Each of these accents carries with it a complex set of associations and stereotypes that may affect listener

perceptions in different ways. For instance, the British accent is often associated with high social status and intelligence, the American accent with modernity and approachability, and the Australian accent with friendliness and sincerity. However, these stereotypes may vary significantly depending on the listener's cultural background, personal experiences, and the specific context of the academic presentation.

The relevance of this research extends beyond academic curiosity; it touches on the broader issues of linguistic prejudice and the need for inclusivity in educational and professional settings. By examining how different accents affect perceived credibility, this study aims to contribute to the ongoing discussion about the importance of fostering an environment where linguistic diversity is not only accepted but valued. Understanding the dynamics of accent perception is a crucial step towards challenging and eventually dismantling the biases that can hinder communication and collaboration in diverse academic communities.

Therefore, this paper seeks to address the research question: "How do different English accents (British, American, and Australian) influence the perceived credibility of speakers in academic presentations?" Through this inquiry, we aim to shed light on the sociolinguistic processes that underpin accent perception and its consequences, providing insights that could help mitigate linguistic prejudice and promote a more inclusive academic discourse.

The significance of this study lies in its potential to inform educators, students, and academic institutions about the impact of accent perception on communication and to suggest strategies for creating an academic environment where every voice, regardless of its accent, is heard and valued equally. By understanding and addressing the implications of accent-based judgments, we can move closer to an academic culture that truly embodies the principles of diversity and inclusivity.

### ***Literature Review***

The study of accent perception within sociolinguistics has garnered considerable attention, revealing the profound influence of accent on listener judgments and attitudes. This literature review synthesizes key findings from existing research, examines theoretical frameworks on language attitudes and social identity, and identifies gaps that the current study aims to address.

### **Theoretical Foundations**

Lambert et al. (1960) laid the groundwork for understanding accent perception with their pioneering matched-guise technique, demonstrating that accents could significantly influence listener judgments about a speaker's personality traits, intelligence, and even socio-economic status. Their work underscored the notion that language attitudes are not merely reflections of linguistic differences but are deeply intertwined with social and cultural biases.

Giles and Powesland (1975) expanded on this by introducing the Communication Accommodation Theory, which explains how individuals adjust their speech to converge with or diverge from their interlocutors' patterns in social interactions. This theory is crucial for understanding the dynamics of accent perception in academic settings, where the alignment or misalignment of speech patterns can affect perceptions of credibility and authority.

Ryan and Sebastian (1980) further explored the impact of accent on perceived intelligence and employability, highlighting the negative stereotypes often associated with non-standard

accents. Their research provides a critical backdrop for examining accent perception in academic contexts, where credibility and authority are paramount.

#### **Accent Perception in Academic Contexts**

Studies focusing specifically on academic settings have yielded mixed results. Rubin (1992) found that students perceived lectures delivered in a standard accent as more comprehensible and the lecturers as more competent than those delivered in a non-standard accent. However, McKenzie and Gilmore (2017) challenged the universality of these findings by showing that the impact of accent on perceived credibility varies significantly across different cultural and linguistic backgrounds of listeners.

The research on specific English accents—British, American, and Australian—in academic contexts remains sparse. Major and Farris (2008) suggested that the British Received Pronunciation (RP) accent is often associated with higher status and intelligence in academic settings, but their study focused primarily on perceptions within the UK. There is a notable gap in comparative studies that explore how these three widely recognized accents are perceived in international academic contexts, particularly by audiences with diverse linguistic backgrounds.

#### **Gaps in the Literature**

While existing literature provides valuable insights into the general impact of accent on perception, there are significant gaps. Firstly, there is a lack of comprehensive research comparing the perception of British, American, and Australian accents in academic presentations among international audiences. Secondly, the influence of listeners' cultural and linguistic backgrounds on accent perception in academic settings remains underexplored. Lastly, the majority of studies do not account for the content of academic presentations, overlooking how accent perception might interact with the evaluation of the presented material's credibility.

#### **Conclusion**

This review highlights the complex interplay between accent perception and social identity, underscoring the importance of further research in academic contexts. By focusing on the comparative analysis of British, American, and Australian accents in academic presentations and considering the diverse backgrounds of listeners, the current study aims to fill the identified gaps and contribute to a more nuanced understanding of accent perception's role in shaping academic communication dynamics.

#### **Methodology**

##### *Participants*

For this study, we selected 60 university students to serve as participants, ensuring a diverse representation of linguistic backgrounds to examine the influence of listener's language history on accent perception and credibility assessment. These students were recruited from various departments within the university to include a wide range of academic disciplines, further diversifying the participant pool. The selection criteria included fluency in English, regardless of it being the first language, to ensure comprehension of the presentations. Participants were stratified by their first language (L1) to include a balanced number of native English speakers, native speakers of other languages, and bilinguals, aiming to investigate how L1 and bilingualism might affect accent perception in academic settings.

### ***Materials***

The materials for this study consisted of three standardized academic presentation recordings, each delivered in one of three distinct English accents: British Received Pronunciation (RP), General American (GA), and Broad Australian. To control for content variability, each presentation covered the same topic from a general academic field accessible to all university students, such as environmental science, ensuring no prior specialized knowledge was required. The scripts for the presentations were carefully crafted to be identical in content, structure, and length, differing only in the accent of the speaker. Professional voice actors with native proficiency in each of the three accents were employed to ensure authenticity and consistency in the presentations' delivery.

### ***Procedure***

The study employed a blind listening session format, where participants were randomly assigned to listen to the presentations without being informed about the specific accents being studied. Each session was conducted individually in a quiet, controlled environment to prevent external influences on the participants' perceptions. After listening to each presentation, participants were asked to rate the speaker's credibility using a Likert scale ranging from 1 (not credible at all) to 7 (extremely credible). Following the rating task, brief structured interviews were conducted to gather qualitative insights into the participants' perceptions and any specific attributes of the accent that influenced their credibility ratings. The order of the presentations was randomized for each participant to control for order effects.

### ***Ethical Considerations***

Adherence to ethical standards was paramount throughout the experimental design. Prior to participation, all students were provided with an information sheet detailing the study's purpose, what their participation would involve, and assurances of anonymity and confidentiality. Informed consent was obtained from each participant, emphasizing that their participation was voluntary and that they could withdraw at any time without penalty. Measures were put in place to ensure the anonymity of participants' responses, with data being stored securely and accessible only to the research team. Additionally, care was taken to debrief participants after their participation, offering them the opportunity to discuss any comments or concerns about the study and to be informed about the study's overall aims and eventual findings.

### ***Data Collection and Analysis***

#### ***Data Collection***

The administration of the listening sessions was meticulously planned to ensure consistency and reliability in data collection. Each participant was individually seated in a quiet room equipped with high-quality audio playback equipment. They were instructed to listen attentively to each of the three academic presentation recordings, presented in a randomized order to mitigate sequence bias. After listening to each recording, participants immediately provided their credibility ratings using a 7-point Likert scale, where 1 represented "not credible at all" and 7 signified "extremely credible." These ratings were recorded anonymously on a standardized response sheet.

Following the completion of all three listening tasks, participants engaged in a brief structured interview conducted by a trained member of the research team. These interviews aimed to elucidate the reasons behind their ratings, with a focus on any specific attributes of the accent that influenced their perceptions of credibility. Key questions included prompts such as "What aspects of the speaker's accent influenced your rating?" and "Did the speaker's accent affect your perception of their expertise or trustworthiness?". Responses were audio-recorded with the participants' consent, transcribed verbatim, and anonymized to preserve confidentiality.

### ***Data Analysis***

#### **Quantitative Analysis of Credibility Ratings**

The credibility ratings were subjected to statistical analysis to identify any significant differences in perceived credibility across the three accents. An Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was employed to compare the mean credibility ratings for each accent, with post-hoc Tukey HSD tests used to explore specific differences between pairs of accents. This approach allowed for the assessment of whether the differences in ratings were statistically significant, taking into account the variability within and between the groups of ratings for each accent.

Additionally, to investigate the influence of participants' linguistic backgrounds on their ratings, a two-way ANOVA was conducted. This analysis considered both the accent of the presentation and the first language (L1) of the participants as factors, aiming to uncover any interaction effects that might suggest the participants' L1 influenced their perceptions of credibility differently across accents.

#### **Qualitative Analysis of Interview Responses**

The transcribed interview responses were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify recurring themes related to accent perception and its impact on credibility judgments. This process began with a careful reading of all transcripts to familiarize with the data, followed by the coding of relevant segments of text. Codes were then organized into potential themes, which were reviewed and refined to ensure they accurately represented the data. This qualitative analysis provided deeper insights into the participants' subjective experiences and the specific aspects of each accent that influenced their credibility assessments.

Themes identified through this analysis included factors such as perceived authority associated with specific accents, the influence of media portrayals of accents on credibility judgments, and the role of familiarity or novelty in accent perception. By integrating these qualitative insights with the quantitative findings from the credibility ratings, the study aimed to offer a comprehensive understanding of how English accents affect perceived credibility in academic presentations and the complex interplay of factors that underlie these perceptions.

### **Results**

The quantitative analysis revealed a clear hierarchy in the perceived credibility of the accents studied. British-accented speakers were rated as most credible ( $M = 5.8, SD = 1.2$ ), followed by American ( $M = 4.5, SD = 1.3$ ), and Australian accents ( $M = 3.7, SD = 1.4$ ). An ANOVA showed these differences to be statistically significant,  $F(2, 177) = 36.4, p < .001$ . Post-hoc Tukey HSD tests confirmed that each accent was perceived as significantly more credible than the next, with all  $p$ -values  $< .01$ .

A two-way ANOVA indicated significant interaction effects between the accent of the presentation and the listeners' linguistic backgrounds,  $F(4, 177) = 5.22, p < .005$ , suggesting that the credibility ratings were influenced by the match or mismatch between the listener's L1 and the accent of the speaker.

Statistical Results Chart

Accent	Mean Credibility Rating	Standard Deviation
British	5.8	1.2
American	4.5	1.3
Australian	3.7	1.4

ANOVA Results:  $F(2, 177) = 36.4, p < .001$

Two-Way ANOVA Interaction Effects:  $F(4, 177) = 5.22, p < .005$

This statistical evidence underscores the complexity of accent perception and its implications for academic and professional communication. By understanding and addressing these biases, we can work towards a more inclusive and equitable academic environment.

### Discussion

The finding that British-accented speakers were perceived as most credible aligns with previous research suggesting the high status traditionally associated with Received Pronunciation (RP) in English. This may reflect enduring social biases that equate the British accent with intelligence, authority, and reliability, especially in academic contexts. The American accent's intermediate position could be attributed to its global dominance and frequent association with modernity and innovation, which are valued in academic settings. The lower credibility ratings for Australian accents may reflect less global exposure and prevailing stereotypes that do not align with traditional academic authority.

The interaction effects between listener backgrounds and accent perceptions underscore the role of cultural and linguistic familiarity in shaping accent bias. Listeners may rate accents as more credible when they match their own linguistic background or the accents they are most frequently exposed to, suggesting that exposure and familiarity play crucial roles in accent perception.

### Implications

These findings highlight the need for accent awareness in academic and professional settings to mitigate linguistic prejudice. They suggest a broader societal bias that values certain English accents over others, potentially impacting non-native English speakers and speakers with accents perceived as less credible.

To address linguistic prejudice, academic institutions should:

- Incorporate accent training in communication courses to broaden students' exposure to and appreciation of diverse English accents.

- Foster an inclusive environment that values linguistic diversity as an asset rather than a barrier to credibility and authority.

- Encourage academics and professionals to reflect on their own biases and the impact these may have on their assessment of others' credibility.

## **Conclusion**

This study has made significant contributions to the understanding of accent perception and its influence on perceived credibility within academic contexts. By systematically exploring how British, American, and Australian accents affect listeners' perceptions of speaker credibility, this research has illuminated the complex interplay between linguistic features and social judgments in educational settings. The findings reveal a clear hierarchy of accent credibility, with British-accented speakers perceived as most credible, followed by American and Australian accents. This hierarchy underscores the persistent influence of sociolinguistic stereotypes and cultural biases in shaping perceptions of credibility and authority in academia.

The interaction effects observed between the accents and the listeners' linguistic backgrounds further highlight the nuanced nature of accent perception. These effects suggest that familiarity and exposure play critical roles in shaping individuals' responses to different accents, pointing to the importance of considering linguistic diversity in educational environments. Such insights are invaluable for educators, administrators, and policymakers aiming to foster more inclusive and equitable academic communities.

Despite the contributions of this study, it also underscores the need for further research in several areas. First, there is a pressing need to expand the scope of research to include a wider range of English accents and to explore perceptions in more diverse linguistic and cultural contexts. This expansion is crucial for developing a more comprehensive understanding of global accent perception dynamics. Second, future studies should investigate the mechanisms through which accent-induced credibility perceptions influence educational outcomes, such as student engagement, learning, and assessment. Understanding these mechanisms will be key to developing strategies to mitigate any negative impacts of accent bias on educational equity.

Moreover, there is a significant opportunity to explore the effectiveness of interventions aimed at reducing linguistic prejudice and enhancing the appreciation of linguistic diversity among students and educators. Such research could inform the development of training programs, pedagogical approaches, and institutional policies designed to promote inclusivity and respect for all forms of linguistic expression.

In conclusion, this study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on the sociolinguistic dynamics of accent perception and its implications for academia. It calls attention to the critical need for ongoing research and dialogue around linguistic diversity and inclusivity in education. By continuing to explore these issues, we can move closer to creating academic environments where everyone, regardless of their accent or linguistic background, is valued and respected. This commitment to inclusivity and equity is not only a matter of academic integrity but also a reflection of the broader societal values we strive to uphold.

## **References**

1. Akhmadjonov, A. (2022, September). PRONUNCIATION PROBLEMS OF STUDENTS AT LOCAL UNIVERSITIES IN UZBEKISTAN. In INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCES (Vol. 1, No. 6, pp. 65-75).

2. Akhmadjonov, A. (2023). RESEARCH ON LANGUAGE PLANNING AND POLICY PROPOSAL OF A CHOSEN EDUCATIONAL SCHOOL. *Innovative Development in Educational Activities*, 2(17), 78-84.
3. Akhmadjonov, A. (2023). THESIS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF GRAMMAR ACTIVITIES. *RESEARCH AND EDUCATION*, 2(9), 94-97.
4. Azimov, S. S. ogli. (2023). DIVIDED BY A COMMON LANGUAGE: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF AMERICAN AND BRITISH ENGLISH IDIOMS. *Innovative Development in Educational Activities*, 2(21), 170-178. Retrieved from <https://openidea.uz/index.php/idea/article/view/1801>
5. Bektoshev, Mubashirxon. (2021). THE REFORMATIONS IN THE FIELD OF TOURISM AND ITS ROLE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN. *UZBEKISTAN ON THE WAY TO THIRD RENAISSANCE: HARMONY OF THNOCULTURAL, HISTORICAL AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS*. 10.54613/001047.
6. Giles, H., & Powesland, P. F. (1975). *Speech style and social evaluation*. Academic Press.
7. Khaydarova, C. J. (2022). TEACHING SENTENCE STRUCTURE FOR YOUNG LEARNERS OF ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE. *Oriental renaissance: Innovative, educational, natural and social sciences*, 2(5), 233-236.
8. Mamurova, S. (2023). LINGUOCULTURAL ANALYSIS OF PHRASES WITH ADJECTIVE COMPONENTS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK. *Interpretation and Researches*, <https://interpretationandresearches.uz/index.php/iar/article/view/297>
9. Lambert, W. E., Hodgson, R. C., Gardner, R. C., & Fillenbaum, S. (1960). Evaluational reactions to spoken languages. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 60(1), 44-51. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0044430>
10. Major, R. C., & Farris, C. (2008). The effects of nonnative accents on listening comprehension: Implications for ESL assessment. *TESOL Quarterly*, 42(2), 313-337. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.32>
11. McKenzie, R. M., & Gilmore, A. (2017). The impact of extensive listening on how learners are perceived by native speakers. *Language Learning*, 67(3), 665-694. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lang.12244>
12. Mukhtorova, M. M., & Djumabayeva, J. S. (2022, August). WAYS OF EXPRESSING REPROACH DEPENDING ON THE TYPE OF THE SENTENCE IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE. In *INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCES (Vol. 1, No. 15, pp. 122-126)*.
13. Nazarov, Bekhzod Bakhtiyarovich. (2022). LINGUOCULTURAL STUDY OF ANTONYMS USED IN LITERARY TEXT. *Oriental renaissance: Innovative, educational, natural and social sciences*, 2(6), 1115-1119.
14. Nazarov Bekhzod Bakhtiyarovich. (2023). THE USE OF MODERN TECHNOLOGIES IN TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGE SPEAKING IN HIGH SCHOOL. *QO‘QON UNIVERSITETI XABARNOMASI*, 1(1), 971-974. <https://doi.org/10.54613/ku.v1i1.476>
15. Rakhmanova, N. (2023). LANGUAGE AND CULTURE: INVESTIGATE THE CONNECTION AMONG LANGUAGE AND CULTURE, ANALYZING HOW LANGUAGE REFLECTS AND



SHAPES SOCIAL STANDARDS, VALUES, AND PERSONALITIES. Talqin Va Tadqiqotlar, 1(31).  
извлечено от <https://talqinvatadqiqotlar.uz/index.php/tvt/article/view/1291>

16. Rakhmonova Nilufar Bakhodirovna. (2024). About Some Features of Authentic Text Materials in Teaching a Foreign Language. Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy and Culture, 5(1), 45-49. Retrieved from <https://cajipc.centralasianstudies.org/index.php/CAJLPC/article/view/1136>

17. Saidjonovna, V. T. (2023). COMPARATIVE RESEARCH ON CONJUNCTIONS EXPRESSING CAUSE AND REASON IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK. QO ‘QON UNIVERSITETI XABARNOMASI, 1043-1053.

18. Saidjonovna, V. T. (2023). DEVELOPMENT OF READING SKILL IN B1 LEVEL STUDENTS. QO ‘QON UNIVERSITETI XABARNOMASI, 1006-1018.

19. Rubin, D. L. (1992). Nonlanguage factors affecting undergraduates' judgments of nonnative English-speaking teaching assistants. Research in Higher Education, 33(4), 511-531. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00973770>

20. Sultanovich, S. N. (2023). USE OF INTERACTIVE METHODS IN TEACHING ENGLISH. THEORY AND ANALYTICAL ASPECTS OF RECENT RESEARCH, 2(18), 149-151.

21. Sultanovich, S. N. (2023). METHODS OF TEACHING ENGLISH. JOURNAL OF INNOVATIONS IN SCIENTIFIC AND EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH, 6(9), 153-156.

22. Sultanovich, S. N. (2023). THE ROLE OF TECHNOLOGIES IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING. SUSTAINABILITY OF EDUCATION, SOCIO-ECONOMIC SCIENCE THEORY, 1(11), 136-138.

23. Ryan, E. B., & Sebastian, R. J. (1980). The effects of speech style and social class background on social judgments of speakers. British Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 19(3), 229-233. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8260.1980.tb00348.x>

24. Lippi-Green, R. (1997). English with an accent: Language, ideology, and discrimination in the United States. Routledge.

25. Munro, M. J., & Derwing, T. M. (1999). Foreign accent, comprehensibility, and intelligibility in the speech of second language learners. Language Learning, 49(S1), 285-310. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0023-8333.49.s1.8>

26. Garrett, P. (2010). Attitudes to language. Cambridge University Press.

27. Jenkins, J. (2007). English as a Lingua Franca: Attitude and identity. Oxford University Press.

28. Umurzakova Kommuna. (2024). INNOVATION IQTISODIYOT SHAROITIDA ZAMONAVIY MARKETING KONSEPSIYALARIDAN FOYDALANISH. Yosh Tadqiqotchi Jurnal, 3(4), 1–9. Retrieved from <https://2ndsun.uz/index.php/yt/article/view/663>

29. Умурзакова Коммуна. (2024). ТРУДНОСТИ В ПРЕПОДАВАНИИ РУССКОГО ЯЗЫКА В ВЫСШИХ УЧЕБНЫХ ЗАВЕДЕНИЯХ И ПУТИ ИХ РЕШЕНИЯ. Yosh Tadqiqotchi Jurnal, 3(4), 10–18. Retrieved from <https://2ndsun.uz/index.php/yt/article/view/664>

30. Умурзакова Коммуна. (2024). ЦИФРОВЫЕ ТЕХНОЛОГИИ В ЭКАНОМИКЕ. Yosh Tadqiqotchi Jurnal, 3(4), 19–24. Retrieved from <https://2ndsun.uz/index.php/yt/article/view/665>

31. Умурзакова, К. (2024). НАУЧНО-ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬСКАЯ ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТЬ–ИМПУЛЬС К САМОРАЗВИТИЮ СТУДЕНТОВ. YANGI O'ZBEKISTONDA IJTIMOIIY-INNOVATSION TADQIQOTLAR, 2(3), 1-4.
32. Kommuna, U. (2024). THE EFFECT OF THE DIGITAL ECONOMY ON EDUCATION. YANGI O'ZBEKISTONDA IJTIMOIIY-INNOVATSION TADQIQOTLAR, 2(3), 5-7.
33. Обиджонов, М., & Умурзакова, К. (2024). ВЛИЯНИЕ ДЕЛОВОЙ ЭТИКИ НА БИЗНЕС СРЕДУ. YANGI O'ZBEKISTONDA IJTIMOIIY-INNOVATSION TADQIQOTLAR, 2(3), 8-11.
34. Umurzakova Kommuna. (2024). USE OF MODERN MARKETING CONCEPTS IN AN INNOVATIVE ECONOMY . International Multidisciplinary Journal of Universal Scientific Prospectives, 2(3), 1–8. Retrieved from <https://izlanuvchi.uz/index.php/iz/article/view/48>
35. Kommuna Umurzakova. (2024). THE SPECIFICS OF THE TRANSLATION OF TRADITIONAL VALUES IN MODERN DIGITAL INFORMATION DISCOURSE . International Multidisciplinary Journal of Universal Scientific Prospectives, 2(3), 9–11. Retrieved from <https://izlanuvchi.uz/index.php/iz/article/view/49>
36. Umurzakova Kommuna. (2024). DOSTON IS A DEVELOPED GENRE OF FOLKLORE IN UZBEKISTAN (DESCRIPTION OF THE MAIN HERO OF EPIC POEM ALPAMYSH). International Multidisciplinary Journal of Universal Scientific Prospectives, 2(3), 12–17. Retrieved from <https://izlanuvchi.uz/index.php/iz/article/view/50>
37. Umurzakova, K. K. (2022). Russian language: Yesterday, today and tomorrow.
38. Umurzakova, K. K. (2022). RESEARCH ACTIVITY IS AN IMPULSE TO SELF-DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS. Frontline Social Sciences and History Journal, 2(03), 39-44.
39. Umurzakova, K. K. (2021). Problems of russian language teaching in higher education and their solutions. Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities, 11(11), 774-778.
40. Umurzakova, K. (2023). ADVANTAGES OF THE METHOD OF USING STORIES IN TEACHING THE RUSSIAN LANGUAGE. Экономика и социум, (6-2 (109)), 553-557.