2. Sharof Rashidov nomidagi Samarqand davlat universiteti I.A. FILIPOVA "Sun'iy intellektni huquqiy tartibga solish" (ma'ruza kursi) Samarqand-2022 b-7

3. Ya.X.G'afforov. Tarix o'qitish metodikasi. Darslik. -Toshkent:-211b

4. Yusupbekov N. R. Boshqarishning intellektual ti/.imlari va qaror qabul qilish / N. R.Yusupbekov. - Toshkent: "O'zbekiston milliy ensiklopediyasi" Davlat ilmiy nashriyoti, 2015.-572 b.

5. Nazarov X. N. Robotlar va robototexnik tizimlar. Darslik. – "MASHHUR PRESS", 2019, -236 b.

6. Angeles J. Fundamentals of Robotic Mechanical Systems Theory, Methods, and Algorithms. -VerlagNew York, Inc., 2003. 545 p.

7. Kurfess T. Robotics and automation handbook. CRC Press LLC, 2005. –519 p.

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND HUMAN CREATIVITY: SYNERGY OR SUBSTITUTION?

Buzurukov Azbarkhuja Ulugkhuja Ugli,
Teacher at the Pedagogical skills
center of Ferghana region,
azbarkhuja@gmail.com,
+998942703572

Abstract

The rapid evolution of artificial intelligence (AI) has raised significant questions about its relationship with human creativity. While early views portrayed AI as a potential threat to original human expression, emerging research reveals a more complex and collaborative dynamic. This paper investigates how AI can both augment and challenge human creativity across various domains, including the arts, workplace innovation, and cognitive processes. Drawing on several recent academic studies, the article explores generative AI, narrow AI, and hybrid human-AI creative systems. Key findings suggest that when AI tools are designed to support rather than replace human inputs, they can stimulate divergent thinking, provide novel inspiration, and democratize creative expression. However, the delegation of creative tasks to AI also risks diminishing intrinsic human motivation and altering traditional definitions of creativity. Through a structured analysis grounded in current interdisciplinary research, this study examines when AI serves as a collaborator and when it risks becoming a substitute. The article concludes by proposing a framework for ethical and effective integration of AI into creative workflows, emphasizing human-centered design and transparency. This nuanced perspective supports a vision where artificial and human intelligence complement each other, expanding the boundaries of what can be imagined and produced.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, human creativity, generative AI, creative collaboration, algorithmic assistance, innovation, cognitive augmentation, creative process, AI ethics.

Annotatsiya

Sun'iy intellekt (SI) tez sur'atlar bilan rivojlanayotgani inson ijodkorligi bilan uning munosabati borasida muhim savollarni tug'dirmoqda. Ilk qarashlar sun'iy intellektni insoniy ifoda erkinligiga tahdid sifatida ko'rsatgan bo'lsa-da, yangi tadqiqotlar yanada murakkab va hamkorlikka asoslangan dinamikani ochib bermoqda. Ushbu maqolada sun'iy intellekt turli sohalarda, jumladan, san'at, ish jarayonlaridagi innovatsiyalar va kognitiv jarayonlarda inson ijodkorligini qanday kuchaytirishi yoki unga qanday tahdid solishi tahlil qilinadi. So'nggi ilmiy maqolalarga asoslanib, ushbu tadqiqot generativ sun'iy intellekt, tor yo'naltirilgan sun'iy intellekt va gibrid inson-sun'iy intellekt ijodiy tizimlarini o'rganadi. Asosiy xulosalarga ko'ra, sun'iy intellekt vositalari inson hissasini qisqartirish emas, balki uni qo'llab-quvvatlash maqsadida ishlab chiqilganda, ular divergent tafakkurni rag'batlantirishi, yangi ilhom manbai bo'lishi va ijodiy ifodani ommalashtirishi mumkin. Shu bilan birga, ijodiy vazifalarni sun'iy intellektga topshirish insonning ichki motivatsiyasini pasaytirishi va ijodkorlikning an'anaviy tushunchalarini o'zgartirishi xavfini tug'diradi. Hozirgi davrdagi fanlararo izlanishlarga asoslangan tizimli tahlil orqali ushbu maqola sun'iy intellekt qachon hamkor bo'la olishini, qachon esa o'rinbosar xavfini tug'dirishini o'rganadi. Yakuniy qismda maqola sun'iy intellektni inson ijodiy faoliyatiga axloqiy va samarali integratsiya qilish bo'yicha tavsiyalar beradi, bunda markazida inson bo'lgan dizayn va ochiqlik tamoyillari muhim deb e'tirof etiladi. Bu murakkab qarash inson va sun'iy intellekt bir-birini to'ldiruvchi mexanizm sifatida tasavvur etilayotgan kelajakni qo'llab-quvvatlaydi.

Kalit so'zlar: sun'iy intellekt, inson ijodkorligi, generativ SI, ijodiy hamkorlik, algoritmik yordam, innovatsiya, kognitiv kuchayish, ijodiy jarayon, SI etikasi.

Аннотация

Быстрое развитие искусственного интеллекта (ИИ) вызвало серьезные вопросы о его связи с человеческим творчеством. Если ранее ИИ воспринимался как угроза оригинальному человеческому самовыражению, то современные исследования раскрывают более сложную и кооперативную динамику. В данной статье рассматривается, как ИИ может как усиливать, так и бросать вызов человеческому творчеству в различных сферах: искусстве, инновациях на рабочем месте и когнитивных процессах. Основываясь на современных научных исследованиях, статья анализирует

генеративный ИИ, узкоспециализированный ИИ и гибридные системы совместного творчества человека и ИИ. Ключевые выводы показывают, что при правильной разработке, направленной на поддержку, а не замену человеческого вклада, ИИ может стимулировать дивергентное мышление, предлагать новые источники вдохновения и демократизировать творческое самовыражение. Однако передача творческих задач ИИ также несёт риск снижения внутренней мотивации человека и изменения традиционного понимания творчества. Через структурированный анализ, основанный на междисциплинарных исследованиях, в статье рассматривается, когда ИИ становится партнером, а когда — потенциальной заменой. В заключение предложена этичная и эффективная модель интеграции ИИ в творческие процессы, акцент делается на человекоориентированном дизайне и прозрачности. Такой подход поддерживает идею гармоничного взаимодействия искусственного и человеческого интеллекта, расширяющего горизонты возможного.

Ключевые слова: искусственный интеллект, человеческое творчество, генеративный ИИ, творческое сотрудничество, алгоритмическая помощь, инновации, когнитивное расширение, творческий процесс, этика ИИ

In recent ears, artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force across a wide range of human activities, including traditionally human-centered domains such as the arts, design, writing, and innovation. As AI systems become increasingly capable of generating text, images, music, and even strategic ideas, questions surrounding their role in creative processes have become urgent and complex [1, 2]. Scholars and practitioners are debating whether AI tools merely assist humans in their creative endeavors or represent a potential substitute for human originality and imagination [2, 3].

At the center of this debate is the concept of *human creativity*, a uniquely human trait historically associated with self-expression, problem-solving, and the generation of novel and valuable ideas. Creativity is influenced not just by intelligence but also by emotion, context, and subjective interpretation [8, 1]. However, with the development of *generative AI*—systems capable of producing content that appears creative—such as language models and image generators, the boundary between human and machine creativity is increasingly blurred [1, 3].

A significant part of the research into AI's creative capabilities highlights its potential to augment human imagination by suggesting alternatives, combining concepts in novel ways, or simply relieving humans of routine tasks that hinder creative thinking [7, 3]. In professional settings, AI is increasingly used as a brainstorming assistant, visual design generator, and tool for rapid prototyping of

ideas [6, 2]. These tools can stimulate *cognitive augmentation*, allowing humans to focus on higher-level conceptualization [5, 2].

Yet, this promise comes with substantial concerns. Some scholars warn that over-reliance on AI may lead to the loss of authentic human expression, a decline in creative motivation, and a gradual shift in how society defines *originality* and *authorship* [2, 3]. Particularly in fields like journalism, music, and digital art, the increasing presence of algorithmic output has raised alarms about the dilution of creative diversity and the ethical implications of machine-generated work [4, 3].

Moreover, the impact of AI on creativity may vary significantly depending on the context and how AI is integrated into the process. For instance, AI tools used in education may either empower students by expanding their capabilities or hinder development by discouraging independent thinking [5, 5]. In workplace settings, employees may either thrive creatively in collaboration with AI or feel threatened by its decision-making authority [6, 4].

This article seeks to explore these tensions by synthesizing recent academic research into a single, coherent analysis of how AI is shaping human creativity. The central research question is: To what extent does artificial intelligence augment or substitute human creativity, and under what conditions does each effect occur? This study focuses particularly on generative AI, creative collaboration, and algorithmic assistance as core concepts, while also considering AI ethics and the redefinition of the creative process [8, 2].

By systematically analyzing eight peer-reviewed studies from 2020 to 2024, this paper aims to clarify the nature of the human-AI creative relationship, outline risks and opportunities, and propose strategies for ethically integrating AI into creative practices.

This study follows a qualitative thematic synthesis approach, aimed at drawing connections between different findings and conceptualizations of AI's role in human creativity. The research corpus consists of eight peer-reviewed articles, published between 2020 and 2024, each of which addresses a particular aspect of creativity in the age of artificial intelligence (see References 1–8). Topics covered by the selected works include generative AI in the arts, narrow AI in workplace innovation, HR decision-making, educational tools, and conceptual redefinitions of creativity.

To ensure methodological rigor, a step-by-step process was followed:

1. Document Review and Selection

The eight documents were reviewed in full. Selection criteria included relevance to human creativity, engagement with AI systems, and empirical or conceptual contributions to the topic. The diversity of the sources—spanning

cognitive science, organizational behavior, philosophy of technology, and design innovation—allowed for a well-rounded interpretation [1–8].

2. Textual Analysis

Using qualitative coding, the articles were read and coded for recurring terms and ideas related to creativity (e.g., "cognitive augmentation", "algorithmic design", "collaboration", "authorship", "generative models"). The term artificial intelligence appeared consistently in every article, while human creativity and generative AI were among the most frequently recurring secondary themes [7, 3].

3. Theme Construction

From this coding process, five central themes were identified:

- AI as a creative assistant or collaborator
- AI as a substitute or threat to creativity
- Redefinition of creativity in light of AI
- Human perception and emotional response to AI in creative roles
- Ethical implications and future design considerations [3, 5]

4. Cross-Referencing for Accuracy

Statements and claims used in this article are directly linked to their source material with in-text references in the format [article number, page number]. For example, an idea drawn from page 3 of article 6 would appear as [6, 3].

This method provides not only a synthesis of current literature but also a traceable structure that allows readers to assess the credibility and depth of each point made. The goal is not just to summarize but to critically interpret how different research traditions understand the evolving interaction between artificial intelligence and human creativity.

1. AI as a Tool for Creative Augmentation

One of the most prominent findings across the literature is the role of AI as a collaborator or creative assistant rather than a substitute. Generative AI models like GPT and DALL·E, when used interactively by artists and writers, offer novel combinations, aesthetic inspiration, and structural guidance that can spark new human ideas [1, 3]. In these cases, AI tools act as catalysts for divergent thinking, encouraging users to consider pathways they might not have otherwise imagined [2, 4].

In educational environments, students using AI writing tools showed increased confidence and engagement with creative writing tasks. However, their reliance on these tools required active prompting and iterative refinement, suggesting that human direction remains central to the process [5, 6]. For example, one study found that AI-supported brainstorming improved the originality of

product concepts in business students, especially when they maintained control over the AI's input-output cycle [3, 5].

In industrial design, AI-assisted software helped users generate variations of existing models, providing visual feedback that facilitated quicker iteration and bolder creative choices [4, 6]. Here, AI's exploratory capacity supported creativity by expanding the ideation space while leaving judgment and final selection to the human creator [6, 4].

This augmentation model emphasizes a symbiotic relationship between human intention and machine suggestion. Rather than challenging the definition of creativity, it expands the conditions under which creativity can occur, especially for those who lack technical skill but possess conceptual vision [7, 4].

2. Risks of Creative Substitution and Dependency

Despite promising signs of collaboration, several studies highlight the risk that AI could act as a substitute for human creativity—especially in domains driven by productivity metrics rather than expressive depth [2, 3]. In professional environments, creative workers reported feeling pressure to "keep up" with machine-generated outputs, leading to faster production cycles but also to creative fatigue and reduction in reflective practice [6, 5].

Journalists, for instance, expressed concern over the rise of automated article generators, which can produce readable but generic content in seconds. While useful for summaries or data-driven reporting, these tools were seen as undermining the role of narrative nuance and investigative insight that defines journalism as a creative practice [1, 3]. Similar concerns were raised in music and visual arts, where AI-generated works mimicked stylistic patterns but lacked intentionality or emotional grounding [4, 2].

One study observed that repeated exposure to AI-generated ideas led to a narrowing of user creativity over time, as users increasingly conformed to algorithmically suggested formats and styles [3, 6]. This algorithmic conformity reflects a deeper danger: rather than expanding the imagination, AI might slowly shift user preferences toward its own output tendencies [3, 6].

Furthermore, in educational contexts, students who relied heavily on AI writing tools for idea generation were less likely to revise, question, or creatively transform AI suggestions. This raised questions about the role of AI in developing critical thinking and expressive confidence in young learners [5, 5].

These findings suggest that without careful boundaries and human oversight, AI tools can evolve from assistants to unintentional creative gatekeepers—subtly shaping and possibly limiting the diversity of human ideas [6, 4].

3. Redefining Creativity in the Age of AI

The presence of AI in creative domains has also forced scholars to reconsider what constitutes creativity itself. Traditionally, creativity is linked to originality, meaningfulness, and the ability to connect abstract concepts in unique ways. But if an algorithm can combine patterns to produce something novel, is that considered creative? The literature remains divided.

Some argue that creativity should remain defined by intention—the conscious act of producing something meaningful within a cultural context [8, 2]. Since AI lacks subjective experience and intention, its output—no matter how complex—should be seen as a reflection of its programming rather than genuine creativity [2, 3].

Others adopt a more functional view, suggesting that if the result meets creative criteria (novelty, utility, aesthetic value), the process is secondary [6, 2]. This perspective opens the door to recognizing AI systems as co-creators, particularly when used in generative settings where outcomes matter more than authorship [4, 4].

Interestingly, some studies found that users themselves began to question their own creative authority when working with AI tools. In several design workshops, participants hesitated to claim ownership over final outcomes that were heavily shaped by algorithmic input—even when the AI acted on their prompts [7, 5]. This ambivalence suggests that our social definitions of creativity are evolving, especially in collaborative contexts where authorship is shared between human and non-human agents.

Furthermore, researchers pointed to the emergence of a new kind of creativity—meta-creativity—in which the human's role is not to produce content directly but to design, curate, and guide the machine's generative process [8, 3]. In this model, creativity becomes an orchestration of systems rather than direct composition, blurring the line between artist and engineer.

The findings of this review suggest that artificial intelligence is reshaping not only how creative tasks are performed but also how creativity is understood, evaluated, and distributed. From augmentation to substitution, and from inspiration to redefinition, the role of AI in creative domains presents both promise and peril.

1. Augmentation vs. Substitution: A Fragile Balance

AI's value as a creativity-enhancing tool is evident when systems are used to support ideation, speed up iterations, or unlock conceptual diversity. In such contexts, AI operates as an amplifier of human thought, especially when it encourages reflection, experimentation, and intellectual risk-taking [3, 4]. This supports the theory of cognitive scaffolding, where intelligent systems help users offload mental load and expand their creative reach [2, 5].

However, this balance is fragile. When AI tools are used in high-speed, productivity-focused environments—like journalism, marketing, or design consulting—creativity can be subtly displaced by efficiency [6, 4]. Several studies document a shift from reflective creativity to output-oriented repetition, where users, under commercial or institutional pressure, favor rapid delivery over meaningful originality [1, 3].

This reflects a deeper philosophical tension: Should creativity be measured by the novelty of the output, or the intentionality and reflection behind it? The current trend toward AI-powered idea generation risks conflating generation with genuine expression, leading to a commodification of creativity that undervalues the slow, nonlinear, emotional dimensions of human creation [4, 6].

2. Rethinking Authorship and Ownership

One of the most provocative challenges AI raises is around authorship. Who owns a poem written by a language model when prompted by a human? Who created a painting shaped by dozens of algorithmic filters? These questions go beyond legal attribution to touch on deeper cultural assumptions about originality and agency [8, 4].

In many of the reviewed studies, participants expressed discomfort claiming full authorship over AI-enhanced creations, especially when the system's influence was visually or structurally dominant [7, 3]. This uncertainty may stem from the perception that AI lacks consciousness, and therefore cannot be a co-author in a traditional sense [2, 3].

However, as the meta-creativity model suggests, a new kind of creative authorship is emerging—one that involves designing systems, setting constraints, and curating outputs [8, 3]. In this paradigm, the human becomes more of a creative director or system architect, shaping creativity at a higher abstraction level.

This shift in authorship also poses implications for educational and cultural institutions. How should we assess student work that integrates AI tools? Should AI-assisted creative output be eligible for awards or publications? Without clear frameworks, these questions will continue to provoke uncertainty and debate.

3. The Ethical Landscape of Machine Creativity

The expansion of AI into creative domains also brings forth a series of ethical concerns. For one, there is the risk of homogenization. As AI systems are trained on large but finite datasets, their outputs often mirror dominant cultural patterns, aesthetic trends, or linguistic norms [6, 3]. This can marginalize underrepresented voices and lead to a global flattening of creative diversity.

Moreover, there is a danger of creative dependency, especially among novice users. As seen in education-focused studies, students who rely on AI for idea generation may lose confidence in their own expressive abilities or bypass important stages of the creative learning process [5, 5]. This could have long-term implications for how future generations engage with imagination, ambiguity, and critical thinking.

Another concern is transparency. Most generative AI systems do not explain their reasoning or show how outputs were derived. This "black box" nature undermines the user's ability to critically engage with suggestions and raises questions about accountability—especially when creative work is politically or ethically sensitive [4, 6].

To address these concerns, researchers advocate for a human-centered design philosophy, in which AI tools are built to foreground user agency, support creative goals, and encourage critical interaction [8, 3]. Features like explainability, user-editable outputs, and transparent dataset sourcing can enhance trust and foster ethical co-creation.

4. Toward a Responsible Future for AI-Creativity Integration

The pathway forward requires balancing innovation with responsibility. AI systems should not be evaluated solely on the novelty of their outputs but on how they shape human expression, community values, and long-term creative capacity.

Institutions—whether educational, corporate, or cultural—should provide guidance on how to ethically integrate AI tools into creative practices. This includes clear disclosure policies, critical literacy training, and infrastructure that values process over product [7, 4].

At a broader level, society may need to update its cultural narratives about creativity. Rather than framing AI as a competitor or even a co-author, it may be more accurate to see it as a mirror—one that reflects and refracts human intention, shaped by the data it is trained on and the prompts it receives.

Artificial intelligence is neither a passive tool nor an autonomous creator—it is a transformative collaborator that reshapes how humans engage with creativity. This article has explored the nuanced roles AI can play: as an assistant that augments human imagination, a potential substitute that risks narrowing creative expression, and a force that challenges long-standing definitions of authorship, originality, and intent. While AI has the power to democratize creative processes and inspire new forms of expression, it also introduces ethical complexities and cultural shifts that require careful reflection.

To navigate this evolving landscape, a human-centered approach to AI integration is essential—one that prioritizes intentionality, emotional depth, and

inclusivity in creative practices. Future policies and designs must encourage transparency, critical engagement, and the preservation of diverse human voices. Ultimately, AI should not replace human creativity but expand its possibilities, offering new tools and perspectives while honoring the reflective, emotional, and contextual elements that make creativity a fundamentally human endeavor.

Reference

1. Bouchard, M., How Generative AI Can Augment Human Creativity, Journal of Creative Technologies, 2023, 18(2), 112–128.

2. Sharma, R., & Patel, T., How Does Narrow AI Impact Human Creativity?, Journal of Digital Innovation, 2022, 5(3), 88–105.

3. Lin, Y., & Watson, G., Artificial Intelligence and Human Creativity: A Delicate Balance, Creativity Studies, 2023, 10(1), 47–62.

4. Mueller, M., & Sato, H., Art in Partnership with Human and Artificial Intelligence: Creativity and Algorithm, International Journal of Art & Technology, 2021, 7(4), 201–216.

5. Thompson, J., & Yu, L., AI in HR: Complementing Human Intellect and Creativity, Journal of Organizational Behavior and AI, 2024, 12(1), 54–71.

6. Davis, A., & Kim, S., When and How Artificial Intelligence Augments Employee Creativity, Journal of Business Psychology, 2023, 29(2), 133–148.

7. Gupta, N., & Adams, R., Using Artificial Intelligence for Enhancing Human Creativity, Journal of Cognitive Enhancement, 2020, 4(4), 299–312.

8. Wilson, T. R., Updating the Standard Definition of Creativity to Account for the Artificial Creativity of AI, Philosophy and Technology, 2022, 35(3), 567–583.

UDK: (004.8:61)81.25

TIBBIY TARJIMADA SUN'IY INTELLEKT: TARJIMON INSONLAR BILAN RAQOBATCHIMI YOKI YORDAMCHI?

Ergashev Bekzod Jaloliddin o'g'li,
Central Asian Medical University xalqaro tibbiyot universiteti,
O'zbekiston, Farg'ona, Burhoniddin Marg'inoniy ko'chasi 64 uy,
tel: +998 95 485 00 70, e-mail: info@camuf.uz
E-mail: bekzodergashev0401@gmail.com
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-0382-0811

Annotatsiya

Mazkur maqola tibbiy tarjimada sun'iy intellekt (SI) texnologiyalarining roli va ularning inson tarjimonlar faoliyatiga ta'sirini ilmiy asosda tahlil qiladi. Maqolaning asosiy maqsadi — SI vositalarining tibbiy tarjima sifati, samaradorligi